

SEALED

DEC 11 2023 AM 8:50  
FILED-USDC-NDTX-FW

p

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
FORT WORTH DIVISION

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

v.

AGRIDIME LLC,  
JOSHUA LINK, and  
JED WOOD,

Defendants,

)  
)  
) Civil Action No.  
) **4 - 23 CV - 1224 P**  
)  
) **FILED UNDER SEAL**  
) **JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC” or “Commission”) alleges:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. The Commission brings this action to halt an ongoing, fraudulent, and unregistered offering of securities and active Ponzi scheme being perpetrated on investors by Agridime LLC (“Agridime”), Joshua Link, and Jed Wood (collectively, “Defendants”).

2. Since 2021, Agridime has raised at least \$191 million from more than 2,100 investors in at least 15 states, selling investment contracts related to the purchase and sale of cattle (“Cattle Contracts”). Defendants promised investors guaranteed annual returns ranging from 15% to 32% and marketed the Cattle Contracts on Agridime’s websites as a way for investors to passively profit from cattle ownership “without having to do all the work.” In advertising, the Defendants boasted, “We know it sounds too good to be true.”

3. Unfortunately for investors, the investment offering was too good to be true. Agridime has used at least \$58 million of investor funds—that Agridime represented would be

used to purchase, feed, and process cattle—to pay returns to existing investors. Defendants did not buy the number of cattle required to fulfill the Company’s obligations under the Cattle Contracts, and as a result Agridime has only been able to return principal and pay promised returns by making Ponzi payments. Defendants have never disclosed these Ponzi payments when soliciting new investors. And they have failed to disclose that they have used an additional \$11 million to pay 10% commissions to salespeople, including owners Wood and Link, who also solicit investments in Agridime Cattle Contracts.

4. Agridime has violated cease-and-desist orders issued earlier this year by Arizona and North Dakota by continuing to sell its securities in fraudulent, unregistered transactions in those states. Agridime has sold more than \$1 million of Cattle Contracts to Arizona residents since the entry of the Arizona order and more than \$9 million to North Dakota residents since the issuance of that state’s order. And on October 18, 2023, an Agridime salesman in Arizona admitted under oath that he is still selling Cattle Contracts from Arizona.

5. Through their actions, Defendants violated, and unless enjoined will continue to violate, the antifraud and securities-registration provisions of the federal securities laws, namely Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Exchange Act”) [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5] and Sections 5(a), 5(c), and 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 (“Securities Act”) [15 U.S.C. §§ 77e(a), 77e(c), and 77q(a)]. Under Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act, Defendants Link and Wood are also liable as control persons for Agridime’s violations of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 thereunder [15 U.S.C. §§ 77o(a) and 78t(a)]. Unless Defendants are enjoined by the Court, they will continue to fraudulently offer and sell unregistered securities in violation of the federal securities laws.

6. To protect the public from further fraudulent activity, the SEC brings this action against Defendants and seeks: (i) permanent injunctive relief; (ii) disgorgement of ill-gotten gains, plus prejudgment interest; and (iii) civil penalties. Because of the ongoing nature of the fraudulent offering and Ponzi scheme, and the risk of continuing investor loss and asset dissipation, the Commission also seeks emergency relief against Defendants, including a temporary restraining order (and preliminary injunction), an asset freeze, the appointment of a receiver, an accounting, and orders expediting discovery, permitting alternative means of service, and prohibiting alteration or destruction of documents.

### **DEFENDANTS**

7. **Agridime LLC** is a Texas limited liability company co-founded by Link and Wood in 2017, with its principal place of business in Fort Worth, Texas. Link and Wood jointly control Agridime as its highest-ranking officers and managing members, each owning a 45.5% interest in the company. Agridime has operations in Texas, Arizona, Kansas, North Dakota, and other states, and purports to be a meat-distribution company with a “proprietary beef supply chain.” Agridime is subject to cease-and-desist orders issued by securities regulators in Arizona and North Dakota.

8. **Joshua Link**, age 30, resides in Gilbert, Arizona. He is an owner, managing member, and the Executive Director of Agridime. He co-founded and jointly controls Agridime with Wood. Link is subject to cease-and-desist orders issued by securities regulators in Arizona and North Dakota. On November 11, 2023, Arizona filed a contempt motion against Agridime and Link for violating its order.

9. **Jed Wood**, age 62, resides in Fort Worth, Texas. He is an owner, managing member, and the Operations Director of Agridime. He co-founded and jointly controls Agridime with Link.

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

10. The Commission brings this action pursuant to authority conferred upon it by Sections 20(b) and 20(d) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 77t(b) and 77t(d)] and Sections 21(d) and 21(e) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 78u(d) and 78u(e)].

11. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Sections 20(b), 20(d), and 22(a) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 77t(b), 77t(d), and 77v(a)] and Sections 21(d), 21(e), and 27 of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 78u(d), (e), and 78aa].

12. The defendants offered and sold investment contracts purportedly relating to cattle. Pursuant to Section 2(a)(1) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77b(a)(1)] and Section 3(a)(10) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78c(a)(10)], Defendants' Cattle Contracts are securities because they are investment contracts.

13. In connection with the conduct described in this Complaint, Defendants, directly or indirectly, made use of the mails or the means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce by, among other means, soliciting and accepting investments via the Internet, transmitting investor contracts via email, executing investor contracts via an on-line software portal, and accepting investor deposits via mail, wire, or other electronic-funds transfer.

14. Venue is proper in this District because at all relevant times: (a) Agridime maintained its principal place of business in Fort Worth; (b) Wood resided in Fort Worth; and (c) Agridime, Wood, and Link conducted business in this District. Further, acts, transactions, and

courses of business constituting violations of the federal securities laws alleged in this Complaint occurred within this District, including but not limited to Defendants' solicitations of investors and the acceptance and disbursement of investor funds.

### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

#### **I. Link and Wood Founded and Control Agridime**

15. Link and Wood have served as Agridime's highest-ranking officers since co-founding the company in 2017.

16. Wood controls Agridime's cattle operations, including overseeing the firm's finances. Wood signs Agridime's checks and, with the assistance of the company's controller, is primarily responsible for overseeing the bank accounts, including payments to and from investors.

17. Link serves as Agridime's Executive Director, a role he describes as equivalent to CEO. He has personally solicited investors, trained and directed the activities of Agridime's salespeople, and executed Cattle Contracts on Agridime's behalf.

#### **II. Defendants Offered and Sold Fraudulent Cattle Contracts**

18. Since at least January 2021, Agridime has raised over \$191 million from more than 2,100 investors in at least 15 states, purporting to sell investments in cattle under Cattle Contracts.

19. In the Cattle Contracts, Agridime agreed to: (a) sell cattle to an investor for \$2,000 per calf; and (b) after a year, buy back the same cattle at a higher price to provide a specific guaranteed investment return. Agridime told investors it would use their funds to purchase, feed, finish, process, and sell specific cattle. Instead of using investor funds to do these things, Agridime instead diverted tens of millions of dollars in investor funds to make Ponzi

payments to prior investors. As a result, Agridime has not purchased enough cattle to fulfill its Cattle Contracts. Agridime's investors, therefore, do not actually invest in specific, identifiable animals. Instead, the success of their investments depends on the success of Agridime's purported cattle operation, including its ability to attract new investors.

20. The Cattle Contract investor's role is entirely passive. On its website at [www.agridime.com](http://www.agridime.com), Agridime described the Cattle Contracts as an opportunity to "make money raising cattle without having to do all the work." The investor did not take delivery of any cattle and assumed no responsibility for the care or feeding of any cattle.

21. Since at least August 2023, Agridime has also offered a new variant of its Cattle Contract from the company's new website at [www.agridimestore.com](http://www.agridimestore.com), soliciting investments on its website of \$4,500 "for the purchase of one bred cow in [Agridime's] supply chain and [for Agridime] to feed that cow until it gives birth to its calf. This cow will remain on [Agridime's] ranches & will be fed and cared for during this time." Under this variant, the investor still does not take delivery of any cattle and assumes no responsibility for their care or feeding. Indeed, these Cattle Contracts make the investor's passive role even more clear, explicitly stating that "[t]he cattle purchased from Agridime . . . shall remain in the care and custody of Agridime."

22. Agridime promises investors "guaranteed 15-20% yearly profits" on the Cattle Contracts and even guarantees returns as high as 32% for some contracts, including contracts to purchase 50 head of cattle or more. Agridime includes these guarantees in the contracts themselves and displays them prominently on the company's website and in social-media ads promoting the investment, as reflected in the images below:



## **BUY LIVE CATTLE**

**Have you ever wanted to make money raising cattle without having to do all the work?**

We know it sounds too good to be true, however, in order to meet increased demand we are partnering with individuals and organizations to contract cattle into our beef supply chain.

We supply retails outlets, meat distributors and restaurant food service companies with farm fresh beef. We are inviting individuals and organizations to purchase cattle with us in order to supply beef to these customers.

All cattle purchased during Q1 of 2023 will be guaranteed 15-20% yearly profits. We also offer 20% yearly profits on contracts of 50 or more.

23. Agridime has also advertised the Cattle Contracts on an unrestricted, publicly available Facebook page with an animated video titled, “Make 15%-20% Yearly Returns by Purchasing Cattle With Us.” The video explains that the investor’s purchase payment covers the cost to “feed that animal to finish, fully process the beef into retail packaging, and then ultimately sell the beef.”

24. Agridime has engaged in a general solicitation of investors by using its publicly available website, unrestricted Facebook page, and other social media to attract investors. Agridime and its sales representatives have not taken steps to verify the accredited status of



investors, and they have not limited the offer or sale of the Cattle Contracts to accredited investors. Agridime's website allowed investors to purchase online without any income verification or disclosures. Agridime's stated practice has been to send investors their Cattle Contracts by email only *after* investors send funds to Agridime (via cash, wire transfer, check, Shopify, transfers from self-directed IRAs, or a number of other payment options, including installment payments). At that point, the investor signs the contract electronically, and then Link (or an authorized sales representative) affixes Link's electronic signature via DocuSign.

25. Neither Agridime nor its Cattle Contract securities are registered with the Commission in any capacity.

### **III. Defendants Misused and Misappropriated Investor Funds**

26. Despite Agridime's explicit claim on its website that it would use investor funds to purchase, feed, finish, process, and sell specific cattle, the company actually used investor funds to make Ponzi payments to earlier investors and to pay undisclosed sales commissions to Agridime salespeople.

#### **a. Defendants Have Used At Least \$58 Million in Investor Funds to Make Ponzi Payments**

27. Contrary to its promises to investors, Agridime has acquired far fewer cattle than it has sold to investors in Cattle Contracts. Because of its failure to acquire, raise, feed, and finish enough cattle, as promised, Agridime has not generated—and cannot generate—sufficient revenues to repay its investors. As a result, Agridime does not have the assets needed to cover its obligations.

28. During the period from December 1, 2022, to September 30, 2023, Agridime has used at least \$58 million in investor funds from the sale of new Cattle Contracts to make



principal and profit payments to previous investors. In short, Agridime is operating a Ponzi scheme.

29. As of September 5, 2023, Agridime held Cattle Contracts requiring them to pay investors more than \$123 million in principal (obligations to repurchase cattle), plus approximately \$24 million in guaranteed “profits.” Given the company’s cash balances of less than \$1.5 million as of September 30, 2023, and insufficient operating revenues, it appears that Agridime’s Ponzi scheme will soon implode, unless it continues to raise money from new investor-victims.

**b. Defendants Paid Undisclosed Sales Commissions to Salespeople**

30. Agridime pays its sales representatives a commission—typically 10%—for each Cattle Contract sold. Through May 2023, Agridime paid its salesforce aggregate commissions exceeding \$11.1 million, including commissions of: (1) at least \$5.6 million to a salesperson in North Dakota; (2) \$1.3 million to Link and his wife; and (3) \$1.3 million to Wood.

31. When representing to investors how it will use their funds, Agridime does not disclose the 10% commission. For example, Agridime’s website included a “Financial Summary” purporting to explain how all investor funds are to be used, as reflected in the image below.

## **Financial Summary**

**Here’s how the financials breakdown for the 15-20% return on our cattle contracts:**

The customer purchases cattle from us for \$2,000 per head. That \$2,000 is used to purchase one steer or heifer, feed that animal to finish, fully process the beef into retail packaging and then ultimately sell the beef.

32. The Financial Summary on Agridime’s website does not mention sales commissions. Likewise, Agridime’s advertisements and Cattle Contracts fail to mention them. Thus, paying commissions contravened Agridime’s representations to investors concerning the use of investor funds.

#### **IV. Defendants’ Materially False and Misleading Statements and Omissions**

33. Agridime, Link, and Wood misled investors with promises of (in their own words) “too good to be true” guaranteed 15-32% annual profits. In reality, the company’s cattle business did not generate revenue sufficient to pay the promised profits to its investors.

34. Agridime’s advertising and sales pitches touted the safety and security of the Cattle Contracts by claiming on Agridime’s website, in the Cattle Contracts, and in sales pitches to investors that the Cattle Contract investments were protected by USDA bonding and insurance. Meanwhile, despite emphasizing the safety of the investment, Defendants failed to disclose to new and prospective investors that Agridime relied on investor funds to pay promised returns, thus concealing the Ponzi scheme.

35. Defendants represented that investor funds would be used for specific purposes—feeding, finishing, and processing beef—but did not disclose that Agridime would use investor funds to pay 10% sales commissions to its salespeople. By omitting to disclose the commissions, Agridime’s representations concerning the use of proceeds are, at best, incomplete and thus misleading under the circumstances.

36. A reasonable investor would have considered it important to know that Agridime misappropriated more than \$58 in investor funds to make Ponzi payments instead of purchasing, feeding, finishing, and processing cattle. Revelation of this diversion of investor funds, in

addition to the undisclosed payment of at least \$11 million in commissions, would significantly alter the total mix of information available to investors.

**V. Defendants Are Violating State Cease-and-Desist Orders and Continue to Defraud Investors**

37. Agridime continues to sell Cattle Contracts in numerous states, despite cease-and-desist orders issued by two states.

38. In April 2023, the Arizona Corporation Commission issued a temporary cease-and-desist order, barring Agridime and Link from, among other things, selling unregistered securities and committing fraud in the purchase or sales of securities (Cattle Contracts) in violation of the Arizona Securities Act.

39. Similarly, in May 2023, the North Dakota Securities Commission ordered Link and Agridime to cease and desist from selling unregistered securities (Cattle Contracts), acting as an unregistered broker-dealer, and engaging in fraudulent practices in connection with the offer and sale of investment contracts represented to be investments in cattle.

40. Agridime has violated both the North Dakota and Arizona cease-and-desist orders. On November 13, 2023, Arizona filed a contempt action, alleging that Agridime, Link, and Link's wife knowingly and willfully continued to offer and sell unregistered securities and committed fraud in violation of Arizona's Securities Act and the previously issued cease-and-desist order.

41. In fact, Agridime has sold more than \$1 million of Cattle Contracts to Arizona residents *after* the entry of the Arizona cease-and-desist order. Additionally, on October 18, 2023, an Arizona-based Agridime salesman admitted under oath that he is still selling Cattle Contracts from Arizona. Agridime's records also reveal that it has sold at least 18 contracts

totaling over \$9 million to North Dakota residents after the issuance of that state's cease-and-desist order.

## **CLAIMS FOR RELIEF**

### **FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

#### **Violations of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5]**

##### ***Against all Defendants***

42. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates paragraphs 1 through 41 of this Complaint by reference as if set forth verbatim in this Claim.

43. By engaging in the acts and conduct alleged herein, Defendants, directly or indirectly, in connection with the purchase or sale of securities, by the use of the means or instrumentality of interstate commerce, or of the mails, knowingly or with severe recklessness:

- a. employed a device, scheme, or artifice to defraud; and/or
- b. made an untrue statement of a material fact, or omitted to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; and/or
- c. engaged in an act, practice, or course of business which operated or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon any person.

44. By reason of the foregoing, Defendants have violated, and unless enjoined will continue to violate, Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5].

**SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

**Violations of Section 17(a) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77q(a)]**

***Against All Defendants***

45. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates paragraphs 1 through 41 of this Complaint by reference as if set forth verbatim in this Claim.

46. By engaging in the acts and conduct alleged herein, Defendants, directly or indirectly, in the offer or sale of securities, by the use of the means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or by use of the mails, have:

- a. knowingly or with severe recklessness employed a device, scheme, or artifice to defraud; and/or
- b. knowingly, recklessly, or negligently obtained money or property by means of an untrue statement of a material fact or an omission to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; and/or
- c. knowingly, recklessly, or negligently engaged in a transaction, practice, or course of business which operated or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon the purchaser.

47. By reason of the foregoing, Defendants have violated, and unless enjoined will continue to violate, Section 17(a) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77q(a)].

**THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

**Violations of Sections 5(a) and (c) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 77e(a) & (c)]**

***Against All Defendants***

48. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates paragraphs 1 through 41 of this Complaint by reference as if set forth verbatim in this Claim.

49. By engaging in the acts and conduct alleged herein, Defendants, directly or indirectly:

- a. made use of the means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or of the mails to sell, through the use or medium of any prospectus or otherwise, securities as to which no registration statement was in effect; and/or
- b. for the purpose of sale or delivery after sale, carried or caused to be carried through the mails or in interstate commerce, by means or instruments of transportation, securities as to which no registration statement was in effect; and/or
- c. made use of means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or of the mails to offer to sell, through the use or medium of any prospectus or otherwise, securities as to which no registration statement had been filed.

50. By engaging in the conduct described above, Defendants have violated, and unless enjoined will continue to violate, Sections 5(a) and 5(c) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 77e(a) and (c)].

**FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

**Control Person Liability Under Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act**

***Against Defendants Link and Wood***

51. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates paragraphs 1 through 41 of this Complaint by reference as if set forth verbatim in this Claim.

52. Defendant Agridime violated Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 thereunder, as alleged above in paragraphs 1 through 41.

53. At all relevant times, Defendants Link and Wood directed and controlled Agridime's management and policies, including the conduct of its other representatives, and were controlling persons of Agridime and its representatives under Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78t(a)]. Defendants Link and Wood were culpable participants in the fraudulent conduct described above and knowingly or recklessly induced many of the material misrepresentations and misstatements alleged herein.

54. Defendants Link and Wood are liable as control persons under Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act for Agridime's violations of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 thereunder. If not enjoined, Link and Wood will continue to cause Agridime to violate Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 thereunder.

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, the Commission respectfully requests that the Court enter a judgment:

1. Permanently enjoining Defendants from violating Sections 5(a), 5(c), and 17(a) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 77e(a), 77e (c), and 77q(a)], Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5];



2. Permanently enjoining Defendants Link and Wood from, directly or indirectly, including but not limited to, through any entity owned or controlled by them, participating in the issuance, purchase, offer, or sale of any security; provided, however, that such injunction shall not prevent Link or Wood from purchasing or selling securities for their own personal accounts;

3. Barring Defendants Link and Wood from acting as an officer or director of any issuer that has a class of securities registered pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12 or that is required to file reports pursuant to Exchange Act Section 15(d) [15 U.S.C. §§ 78l and 78o(d)];

4. Ordering the Defendants to disgorge all ill-gotten gains obtained as a result of the violations alleged herein, plus prejudgment interest thereon, pursuant to Exchange Act Sections 21(d)(3), 21(d)(5), and 21(d)(7) [15 U.S.C. §§ 78u(d)(3), 78u(d)(5), and 78u(d)(7)];


5. Ordering Defendants to pay civil penalties pursuant to Section 20(d) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77t(d)] and Section 21(d)(3) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)(3)] for violations of the federal securities laws as alleged herein; and

6. Imposing such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: December 11, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND  
EXCHANGE COMMISSION

  
Matthew J. Gulde  
Illinois Bar No. 6272325

Tyson M. Lies

Texas Bar No. 24087927

United States Securities and  
Exchange Commission

Burnett Plaza, Suite 1900

801 Cherry Street, Unit 18

Fort Worth, TX 76102

Telephone: (817) 978-3821

Facsimile: (817) 978-4927

guldem@sec.gov

*Attorneys for Plaintiff*

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

|  |  |
|--|--|
| <p><b>I. (a) PLAINTIFFS</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION</p> <p><b>(b)</b> County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff _____<br/>(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)</p> <p><b>(c)</b> Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)<br/>Matthew J. Gulde, SEC<br/>801 Cherry Street, Fort Worth, Texas 76102, 817-978-3821</p> | <p><b>DEFENDANTS</b></p> <p>AGRIDIME, LLC, JED WOOD, and JOSHUA LINK</p> <p>County of Residence of First Listed Defendant <u>Tarrant</u><br/>(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)</p> <p>NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.</p> <p>Attorneys (If Known)<br/><b>4-23CV-1224P</b></p> |
|--|--|

| <p><b>II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION</b> (Place an "X" in One Box Only)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 2 U.S. Government Defendant</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)</p> | <p><b>III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES</b> (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)</p> <table style="width:100%;"> <tr> <th></th> <th>PTF</th> <th>DEF</th> <th></th> <th>PTF</th> <th>DEF</th> </tr> <tr> <td>Citizen of This State</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 1</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 1</td> <td>Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 4</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Citizen of Another State</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 2</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 2</td> <td>Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 5</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 3</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 3</td> <td>Foreign Nation</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 6</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 6</td> </tr> </table> |                            | PTF   | DEF                        |                            | PTF | DEF | Citizen of This State | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 | Citizen of Another State | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State | <input type="checkbox"/> 5 | <input type="checkbox"/> 5 | Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | Foreign Nation | <input type="checkbox"/> 6 | <input type="checkbox"/> 6 |
|--|--|----------------------------|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|-----|-----|-----------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
|  | PTF  | DEF                        |   | PTF                        | DEF                        |     |     |                       |                            |                            |   |                            |                            |                          |                            |                            |   |                            |                            |   |                            |                            |                |                            |                            |
| Citizen of This State  | <input type="checkbox"/> 1   | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State     | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 |     |     |                       |                            |                            |   |                            |                            |                          |                            |                            |   |                            |                            |   |                            |                            |                |                            |                            |
| Citizen of Another State   | <input type="checkbox"/> 2   | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State | <input type="checkbox"/> 5 | <input type="checkbox"/> 5 |     |     |                       |                            |                            |   |                            |                            |                          |                            |                            |   |                            |                            |   |                            |                            |                |                            |                            |
| Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country  | <input type="checkbox"/> 3   | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | Foreign Nation  | <input type="checkbox"/> 6 | <input type="checkbox"/> 6 |     |     |                       |                            |                            |   |                            |                            |                          |                            |                            |   |                            |                            |   |                            |                            |                |                            |                            |

**IV. NATURE OF SUIT** (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.

| CONTRACT  | TORTS  | FORFEITURE/PENALTY   | BANKRUPTCY  | OTHER STATUTES   |  |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> 110 Insurance<br><input type="checkbox"/> 120 Marine<br><input type="checkbox"/> 130 Miller Act<br><input type="checkbox"/> 140 Negotiable Instrument<br><input type="checkbox"/> 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment<br><input type="checkbox"/> 151 Medicare Act<br><input type="checkbox"/> 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)<br><input type="checkbox"/> 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits<br><input type="checkbox"/> 160 Stockholders' Suits<br><input type="checkbox"/> 190 Other Contract<br><input type="checkbox"/> 195 Contract Product Liability<br><input type="checkbox"/> 196 Franchise | <p><b>PERSONAL INJURY</b></p> <input type="checkbox"/> 310 Airplane<br><input type="checkbox"/> 315 Airplane Product Liability<br><input type="checkbox"/> 320 Assault, Libel & Slander<br><input type="checkbox"/> 330 Federal Employers' Liability<br><input type="checkbox"/> 340 Marine<br><input type="checkbox"/> 345 Marine Product Liability<br><input type="checkbox"/> 350 Motor Vehicle<br><input type="checkbox"/> 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability<br><input type="checkbox"/> 360 Other Personal Injury<br><input type="checkbox"/> 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice | <p><b>PERSONAL INJURY</b></p> <input type="checkbox"/> 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability<br><input type="checkbox"/> 367 Health Care/Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability<br><input type="checkbox"/> 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability<br><p><b>PERSONAL PROPERTY</b></p> <input type="checkbox"/> 370 Other Fraud<br><input type="checkbox"/> 371 Truth in Lending<br><input type="checkbox"/> 380 Other Personal Property Damage<br><input type="checkbox"/> 385 Property Damage Product Liability | <input type="checkbox"/> 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881<br><input type="checkbox"/> 690 Other<br><p><b>LABOR</b></p> <input type="checkbox"/> 710 Fair Labor Standards Act<br><input type="checkbox"/> 720 Labor/Management Relations<br><input type="checkbox"/> 740 Railway Labor Act<br><input type="checkbox"/> 751 Family and Medical Leave Act<br><input type="checkbox"/> 790 Other Labor Litigation<br><input type="checkbox"/> 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act<br><p><b>IMMIGRATION</b></p> <input type="checkbox"/> 462 Naturalization Application<br><input type="checkbox"/> 465 Other Immigration Actions | <input type="checkbox"/> 422 Appeal 28 USC 158<br><input type="checkbox"/> 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157<br><p><b>PROPERTY RIGHTS</b></p> <input type="checkbox"/> 820 Copyrights<br><input type="checkbox"/> 830 Patent<br><input type="checkbox"/> 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application<br><input type="checkbox"/> 840 Trademark<br><input type="checkbox"/> 880 Defend Trade Secrets Act of 2016<br><p><b>SOCIAL SECURITY</b></p> <input type="checkbox"/> 861 HIA (1395ff)<br><input type="checkbox"/> 862 Black Lung (923)<br><input type="checkbox"/> 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))<br><input type="checkbox"/> 864 SSID Title XVI<br><input type="checkbox"/> 865 RSI (405(g))<br><p><b>FEDERAL TAX SUITS</b></p> <input type="checkbox"/> 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)<br><input type="checkbox"/> 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609 | <input type="checkbox"/> 375 False Claims Act<br><input type="checkbox"/> 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a))<br><input type="checkbox"/> 400 State Reapportionment<br><input type="checkbox"/> 410 Antitrust<br><input type="checkbox"/> 430 Banks and Banking<br><input type="checkbox"/> 450 Commerce<br><input type="checkbox"/> 460 Deportation<br><input type="checkbox"/> 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations<br><input type="checkbox"/> 480 Consumer Credit (15 USC 1681 or 1692)<br><input type="checkbox"/> 485 Telephone Consumer Protection Act<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 490 Cable/Sat TV<br><input type="checkbox"/> 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange<br><input type="checkbox"/> 890 Other Statutory Actions<br><input type="checkbox"/> 891 Agricultural Acts<br><input type="checkbox"/> 893 Environmental Matters<br><input type="checkbox"/> 895 Freedom of Information Act<br><input type="checkbox"/> 896 Arbitration<br><input type="checkbox"/> 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision<br><input type="checkbox"/> 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes |
| <p><b>REAL PROPERTY</b></p> <input type="checkbox"/> 210 Land Condemnation<br><input type="checkbox"/> 220 Foreclosure<br><input type="checkbox"/> 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment<br><input type="checkbox"/> 240 Torts to Land<br><input type="checkbox"/> 245 Tort Product Liability<br><input type="checkbox"/> 290 All Other Real Property  | <p><b>CIVIL RIGHTS</b></p> <input type="checkbox"/> 440 Other Civil Rights<br><input type="checkbox"/> 441 Voting<br><input type="checkbox"/> 442 Employment<br><input type="checkbox"/> 443 Housing/Accommodations<br><input type="checkbox"/> 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment<br><input type="checkbox"/> 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other<br><input type="checkbox"/> 448 Education   | <p><b>PRISONER PETITIONS</b></p> <p><b>Habeas Corpus:</b></p> <input type="checkbox"/> 463 Alien Detainee<br><input type="checkbox"/> 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence<br><input type="checkbox"/> 530 General<br><input type="checkbox"/> 535 Death Penalty<br><p><b>Other:</b></p> <input type="checkbox"/> 540 Mandamus & Other<br><input type="checkbox"/> 550 Civil Rights<br><input type="checkbox"/> 555 Prison Condition<br><input type="checkbox"/> 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement                            |   |  |  |

**V. ORIGIN** (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

☒ 1 Original Proceeding    ☐ 2 Removed from State Court    ☐ 3 Remanded from Appellate Court    ☐ 4 Reinstated or Reopened    ☐ 5 Transferred from Another District (specify) \_\_\_\_\_    ☐ 6 Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer    ☐ 8 Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File

**VI. CAUSE OF ACTION**

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)]; [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5]; [15 U.S.C. § 77(a)]; [15 U.S.C. §§ 77e(a) and 77e(c)];

Brief description of cause: \_\_\_\_\_

**VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:**

☐ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.    **DEMAND \$** \_\_\_\_\_    CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: **JURY DEMAND:** ☒ Yes    ☐ No

**VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY** (See instructions): JUDGE \_\_\_\_\_ DOCKET NUMBER \_\_\_\_\_

DATE: Dec 11, 2023

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD: \_\_\_\_\_

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT # \_\_\_\_\_ AMOUNT \_\_\_\_\_ APPLYING IFP \_\_\_\_\_ JUDGE \_\_\_\_\_ MAG. JUDGE \_\_\_\_\_

**INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44**

## Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
  - (b) County of Residence.** For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
  - (c) Attorneys.** Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction.** The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.
- United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.
- Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.
- Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)
- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit.** Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: [Nature of Suit Code Descriptions](#).
- V. Origin.** Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.
- Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.
- Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441.
- Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.
- Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.
- Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.
- Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.
- Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket.
- PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7.** Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statute.
- VI. Cause of Action.** Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.** Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service.
- VII. Requested in Complaint.** Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.
- Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.
- Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases.** This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related cases, if any. If a related case exists, whether pending or closed, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases. A case is related to this filing if the case: 1) involves some or all of the same parties and is based on the same or similar claim; 2) involves the same property, transaction, or event; 3) involves substantially similar issues of law and fact; and/or 4) involves the same estate in a bankruptcy appeal.

**Date and Attorney Signature.** Date and sign the civil cover sheet.